

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Time.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** ...and in some cases even killed.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Thank you. Discussion of the Chambers motion. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

**SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS:** Actually this is more of a yin and yang type of comment. Yesterday I was very, very uneasy, as many people were, though I voted to do so and will take the responsibility for that, to move those bills across to try to un...to get rid of a logjam so we could get some work done, and the Legislature did put in a good day's work. I am hoping the same thing can happen today as well. I guess I feel that we would look a little bit even more strange than we were yesterday on what we have done with the rules, and certainly I have had my share of dealings with the rules, that if we went ahead and moved bills back without the peer debate to where they should have been on General File, then we'd, in fact, I think say to those bills that, well, we didn't necessarily want to do that, we made a mistake, we are going to move them back. And, oh, by the way, they were important yesterday but today they are not so important, because if we move them back to General File, obviously, they cannot move up to be considered to Final Reading, and there were some bills that we stated yesterday that were very important that needed to be considered, certain priority bills. Senator Wesely has one that is very important. Senator Lamb, on his LB 866, certainly is very important for the Legislature to continue. Senator Morrissey and those have some on low-level liability that needs to be discussed, and if we are going to say that they need to be discussed, they are very important to do so, then I think it would be foolish for the Legislature to move all of those back and say, well, they are important, we didn't mean what we did yesterday and, by the way, since we did that action yesterday and we move them back today, now we guarantee we can't talk about them. And I don't think that is a process that we need to do. One maybe bad decision shouldn't be compounded by a further one. And I would agree, yesterday's decision was somewhat unusual but I think the body is being put in that unusual situation because of the cone of the session, because of some of the issues we have been involved, and we have to do extra human things by working together to try to get some of these things accomplished, and I think we did a good job on that yesterday, and I hope we can